

Milwaukee County

County Executive Scott Walker Board Chairman Lee Holloway

March 12, 2008

Assembly Speaker Michael Huebsch State Capitol, Rm. 211W Madison, WI 53708

Dear Speaker Huebsch,

On December 20, 2007, the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors unanimously adopted a resolution authored by Supervisors John Weishan, Jr., Marina Dimitrijevic, Gerry Broderick, Patricia Jursik, and Chairman Lee Holloway, calling "upon the Governor and legislators of Wisconsin to quickly ratify the Great Lakes Compact, along with the passage of strong implementing legislation." On January 14, 2008, Milwaukee County Executive Scott Walker signed the resolution, attached here as File No. 07-461.

Consistent with this adopted policy, Milwaukee County asks that the leadership in the State Assembly advance legislation this session implementing the Great Lakes—St. Lawrence River Basin Water Resources Compact. Milwaukee County supports adoption of a strong Great Lakes Water Compact that includes the following provisions:

- "Require measurable, meaningful water conservation practices,
- Require environmentally responsible return of diverted waters,
- Close the bottled water loophole that would let multinational corporations profit from public water resources by allowing diversions one bottle at a time,
- Set permit and monitoring standards for large water users within the Great Lakes basin,
- Maintain the integrity of the Great Lakes watershed by establishing fixed community boundaries, and
- Assure an open, public approval process for diversion requests and provide public enforcement options"

As the Legislature and the Governor work to resolve the biennial budget imbalance, we ask that funding be preserved for Shared Revenue; Milwaukee County Mass Transit System; and Youth Aids. Youth Aids revenue is particularly crucial for counties in light of the exorbitant increases to the juvenile correctional institutional daily rates. Thank you

for considering Milwaukee County's request to move the Great Lakes Water Compact forward and our budget adjustment priorities.

Sincerely,

Scott/Walker

Milwaukee County Executive

Attachment

cc: Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors

Governor Jim Doyle

Senate Majority Leader Russ Decker

Senator Mark Miller, Joint Committee on Finance Co-Chair

Representative Kitty Rhoades, Joint Committee on Finance Co-Chair

Representative Scott Gunderson, Chair Assembly Committee on Natural Resources

Milwaukee County Board Chairman

Department of Natural Resources Secretary Matt Frank

Milwaukee County Legislative Delegation

RECORD OF COUNTY EXECUTI	VE ACTIONS 3327 R2 ACTIONS 3327 R2 COUNTY BOARD FILE NO. File No. 07-461
CERTIFICATION TO COUNTY BOARD PASSAGE	I certify that the attached resolution or ordinance was adopted by The Board of Supervisors of Milwaukee County at a meeting held on the 20th day of December 20 07 by a vote of 12 ayes noes 12-20-07 DATE SIGNED Contract Contr
COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S ACTION	I approve the attached resolution or ordinance.
CERTIFICATION OF PUBLICATION	DATE PUBLISHED DATE SIGNED COUNTY CLERK
	RECEIPTS
BY COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE	JAN 17 2008 Male Type SIGNATURE .

*

File no. 07-469

DEC 2 0 2007 Alepted 2 19-0 3

By Supervisors Weishan, Holloway, Dimitrijevic, Broderick and Jursik

A RESOLUTION

Supporting the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence River Basin Water Resources Compact.

WHEREAS, the waters of the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River basin are important public natural resources shared and held in trust by the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River basin states and provinces; and

WHEREAS, the Great Lakes are the world's largest sources of surface freshwater and are essential to the economic health and quality of life of Great Lakes basin communities, citizens and businesses; and

WHEREAS, the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River provide fresh drinking water, support countless family, commercial, tourism and cultural activities for basin residents and visitors, drive economic development and improve the economic value of shoreline communities, support critical wildlife habitat and aquatic and coastal ecosystems and support agricultural and industrial activities; and

WHEREAS, despite their size, the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River are vulnerable because they are finite and are at risk of depletion and degradation with only one percent of the water in the Great Lakes being recharged each year; and

WHEREAS, future diversions and consumptive uses of Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River basin water resources have the potential significantly impact the environment, economy and welfare of the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River region; and

WHEREAS, Wisconsinites and citizens of Great Lakes states have the right to manage the Great Lakes, and the duty to protect, conserve, restore and improve the Great Lakes for the use, benefit and enjoyment ourselves and future generations; and

WHEREAS, the more effective means of protecting, conserving, restoring, improving and managing the basin waters is through the pursuit of strong, unified and cooperative principles, whereby Wisconsin sets a forward-thinking example for other Great Lakes states with strong policies, enacted and adhered to by all Wisconsinites; and

WHEREAS, the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River Basin Water Resources Compact ("Great Lakes Compact") is an appropriate and necessary baseline for strong, forward-thinking policies to protect the Great Lakes; and

44 WHEREAS, the Great Lakes Compact can and should be strengthened to better protect the Great Lakes and the Wisconsin residents whose lives and livelihoods depend 45 upon them; and 46 47 WHEREAS, a stronger compact would include the following provisions: 48

49 50

51 52

53

54 55

56

57

58

59

62

63

64

- Require measurable, meaningful water conservation practices,
- Require environmentally responsible return of diverted waters,
- Close the bottled water loophole that would let multinational corporations profit from public water resources by allowing diversions one bottle at a time,
- Set permit and monitoring standards for large water users within the Great Lakes
- Maintain the integrity of the Great Lakes watershed by establishing fixed community boundaries, and
- Assure an open, public approval process for diversion requests and provide public enforcement options

60 61

; and

WHEREAS, the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River Basin Water Resources Compact is not binding until ratified by each Great Lakes state legislature and approved by the United States Congress; now, therefore,

65 66 67

68

69 70

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors call upon the Governor and legislators of Wisconsin to quickly ratify the Great Lakes Compact, along with passage of strong implementing legislation using the aforementioned six points, so that it may help protect Wisconsin's Great Lakes and may allow the Great Lakes Compact to become enforceable law in the Great Lakes states; and

71 72 73

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Board further call on the United States Congress to give its approval of the Great Lakes Compact so that it may become a binding interstate compact on the Great Lakes states; and

75 76 77

78 79

74

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is authorized and directed to distribute copies of this resolution to Wisconsin Governor Jim Doyle and members of the Wisconsin legislature.

80 81 82

84

83 November 14, 2007

IAParksCommittee\Great Lakes Water Compact Reso - Weishan.doc

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: November 15, 2007		Origir	nal Fiscal Note							
		Subs	titute Fiscal Note							
SUBJECT: Supporting the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence River Basin Water Resources Compact,										
FISC	CAL EFFECT:									
\boxtimes	No Direct County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditures							
	Existing Staff Time Required		Decrease Capital Expenditures							
	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)		Increase Capital Revenues							
	Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Revenues							
	Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget									
	Decrease Operating Expenditures	and the same of th	Use of contingent funds							
	Increase Operating Revenues									
	Decrease Operating Revenues									
Indic	cate below the dollar change from budget for a	any subm e current	ission that is projected to result in year.							

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	0
•	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	a managand and antimized to require the size of the desire and the size of the desire and the size of	0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	0	O
Budget	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

Adoption of this resolution will not require an expenditure of funds.

Department/Prepared By	<u>Julie Esch,</u>	Legislat	ive Ke	esearch /	Anaiyst	
Authorized Signature	- D	Es	CL_	diploquest sign sale type control of substant control to the forest control.		
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review	?	Yes	\boxtimes	No		

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.